## **Monitoring Report**

| Visit Date              | 9-10 September, 2016 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Report Submitted</b> |                      |

- 1). Name of Project: <u>Electrification of Remaining Areas in AJK District Mirpur-III</u>
- 2). Sector: <u>Power</u>
- 3). Sub-Sector: <u>Electricity</u>
- **4). Unique Ref. No:** <u>POW#149</u>
- 5). Location: <u>District Mirpur AJK</u>

| District | Tehsil | Constituency | Village/Town Committee |
|----------|--------|--------------|------------------------|
| Mirpur   | All    | N/A          | 231 villages           |

6). Status:

| On-O        | Going                      | Completed |  |  |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Original $$ | <b>Original</b> $$ Revised |           |  |  |

### 7). (a) Timeline:

| As per PC-I |                     |                       | Approved Duration   | As per Admin Approval |                    |  |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
|             | Date of<br>Approval | Date of<br>Completion | of Project (Months) | Start Date            | Completion<br>Date |  |
| Actual      | 15/8/12             | 30/6/16               | 48 months           | 30/5/13               | 30/6/16            |  |

(b) Time Overrun:

Y/N

8). Cost:

|        | Actual  | 1 <sup>st</sup> Revised | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Revised |
|--------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Amount | 296.947 |                         |                         |
| Date   | 30/5/13 |                         |                         |

## 9). (a) Project History

| Year    | Phasing as per PC-I | Actual ADP<br>Provision/Releases | Utilization |
|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| 2012-13 | 12.819              | 8                                | 8           |
| 2013-14 | 84.497              | 104.763                          | 104.763     |
| 2014-15 | 89.489              | 51.1                             | 51.1        |
| 2015-16 | 110.142             | 54.147                           | 54.147      |
| Total   | 296.947             | 218.001                          | 218.001     |

# (10). Financial Progress:

|            |  | Approved    | Cumulative                     | ive Expenditure Duri |              | g Current   |
|------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>S</b> # | Activities as  | Cost as por | Exp. up to the                 | Finar                | ncial Year 2 | 016-17      |
| 5#         | per PC-I   | PC-I        | last financial<br>year 2015-16 | Allocation           | Releases     | Utilization |
| 1          | 2  | 3           | 4                              | 5                    | 6            | 7           |
| 1          | Preliminary works                                      | 0.058       | 0                              |                      |              |             |
| 2          | Village Electrified                                    |             |                                |                      |              |             |
| Ι          | Villages Electrified<br>with 11 kV rabbit<br>conductor | 50.086      | 44.092                         |                      |              |             |
| Ii         | Villages Electrified<br>with 0.4 KV ant<br>conductor   | 135.714     | 115.513                        |                      |              |             |
|            | Total Village<br>Electrified                           | 185.8       | 159.605                        |                      |              |             |
| 3          | Transformers   |             |                                |                      |              |             |
| Ι          | 200 kVA  | 48.936      | 39.523                         |                      |              |             |
| Ii         | 100kVA   | 15.759      | 9.178                          |                      |              |             |
| Iii        | 50kVA  | 5.009       | 2.688                          |                      |              |             |
| Sub        | -total   | 69.704      | 51.389                         |                      |              |             |
| 4          | Escalation @6.5%                                       | 32.440      | 0                              |                      |              |             |
| 5          | Contingencies @ 2 %                                    | 5.111       | 4.036                          |                      |              |             |

| 6 | Work charge @1.5 %    | 3.833   | 2.07    |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| 7 | Advertisement charges | 0       | 0.142   |  |  |
|   | Total                 | 296.946 | 217.242 |  |  |

# 11). Physical Progress: (Quantitative)

| S#  | Activities of Work                                  | Unit | Quantity | Cumulative<br>Physical<br>Achievement up to<br>end of last<br>financial year | umulative<br>Physical<br>evement up to<br>nd of lastPhysical Target<br>Achievement During<br>Current Financial<br>Year |          |
|-----|---|------|----------|--|--|----------|
|     |   |      |          |  | Planned  | Achieved |
|     | 1   | 2    | 3        | 4  | 5  | 6        |
| 1   | Preliminary works                                   | %    | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0        |
| 2   | Village Electrified                                 |      |          |  |  |          |
| Ι   | Villages Electrified with 11<br>KV rabbit conductor | Km   | 75.1     | 59.3   | 0  | 0        |
| ii  | Villages Electrified with<br>0.4 KV ant conductor   | Km   | 201.63   | 179.88   | 0  | 0        |
| 3   | Transformers  |      |          |  |  |          |
| Ι   | 200 KVA   | No   | 6        | 5  | 0  | 0        |
| ii  | 100 KVA   | No   | 29       | 21   | 0  | 0        |
| iii | 50 KVA  | No   | 135      | 127  | 0  | 0        |
| 4   | Escalation @6.5%                                    | %    | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0        |
| 5   | Contingencies @ 2 %                                 | %    | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0        |
| 6   | Work charge @1.5 %                                  | %    | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0        |
| 7   | Advertisement charges                               | No   | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0        |

#### **12). Supervision of Work:**

| Name of PD /Responsible officer | Waqar Hanif     |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Designation                     | SDO Electricity |
| Full time/Additional Charge     | Full Time       |
| Contacts                        |                 |
| Office                          | 05827-920321    |
| Cell                            | 0355-6755037    |
| Fax                             | -               |

#### 13) Findings / Observations

#### **Issues in PC-I**

- 1. The PC-I documents were examined and a lot of discrepancies, deviation and irrationalities were found contradicting to the ground realities while:
  - i. The Ratta village had 1117 service connections till June, 2013. The number of installed transformers in the said village were only 5, which were insufficient to cater the needs of the village. Besides, no more transformer is allocated in this scheme for the said village.
  - The existing infrastructure of Jari village had 28 service connections with 6 transformers till June, 2013 whereas the electricity department allocated another transformer to the said village. A comparison of Ratta village and Jari village can be seen in the table below:

| Village | Population | Connections till<br>June, 2013 | Transformer till<br>June, 2013 | Proposed in<br>PC-I |
|---------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Ratta   | 4149       | 1117                           | 5 (50 KVA)                     | Nil                 |
| Jari    | 47         | 28                             | 4 (50 KVA)<br>2 (100 KVA)      | 1 (50 KVA)          |

iii. The Palot village has more population as compared to Chatoh village. It is strange to notice that the village with less number of connections (Chatroh) has 9 more transformers, furthermore it has been allocated with 4 new transformers in contrary to the village (Palot) which has more population, less transformers and with no transformer allocation in this Scheme. A comparison of Chatroh and Palot village can be seen below:

| Village | Connections | Existing transformers | New transformer |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Chatroh | 731         | 16                    | 4               |
| Palot   | 780         | 6                     | 0               |

iv. The Kalyal Bansi village has 903 connections and 5 transformers while Deri Rustam has
653 connections and 6 transformers. Dheri Bansi is allocated with 3 new transformers of
50 KVA in the PC-I contrary to Kalyal Bansi which has more connections. It can be
viewed by the graph below:



v. The villages of Chak Sagar, Jari and Shar are good examples of uneven distribution of resources by Electricity Department. The comparison of these villages can be viewed in the graph below:



- vi. Dadyal had 11 connections with 2 transformers of 100 KVA each. Another transformer of 50 KVA is allocated to this village. Janial had 209 connections with 7 transformers and a 50 KVA new transformer is again allocated to this village. The allocation of new transformers to Dadyal and Janial should be justified.
- vii. Village Dheri Barwan had 346 connection. This village had a transformer of 50 KVA. First justification should be provided why a 50 KVA transformer for 346 service connection? Secondly why only 50 KVA new transformer is proposed while this village requires more transformers?

| Name of Village | No of connections | Existing<br>transformers  | New Transformer |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Dadyal          | 115               | 2(100 KVA)                | 1(50KVA)        |
| Janial          | 209               | 5 (50 KVA)<br>2 (100 KVA) | 1 (50 KVA)      |
| Dheri Barwan    | 346               | 1 (50KVA)                 | 1 (50KVA)       |

Table showing the connections along with transformers allocation

viii. There are number of villages that have no transformer. Furthermore, no transformer is allocated in this PC-I for villages in the below table

| Name of Village | No of service<br>connections | Existing<br>Transformer | New transformer |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Kotla Dattan    | 123                          | 0                       | 0               |
| Dhamat          | 51                           | 0                       | 0               |
| Naugran         | 103                          | 0                       | 0               |
| Ghasipur        | 49                           | 0                       | 0               |
| Gorah           | 67                           | 0                       | 0               |

### **General Findings**

- 1. The project aims to provide electrification to remaining areas in Mirpur district. There are 231 villages in Mirpur district and all villages are electrified. This project is launched for the partial/remaining electrification of 231 villages.
- 2. Some issues in the quality of the poles purchased were found. Broken X cross of poles were seen in field, which is indeed an unsatisfactory situation.
- 3. As per electricity representatives at the site of bypass road, the dog conductor was used in transmission line without approval of the competent authority. No document evidence regarding use of dog conductor was provided.
- 4. The Electricity Department has done same tendering against the recurring budget as well as for development schemes of Electricity. The tender process of development schemes should be done separately. This will solve a number of problem like poles serial numbers, transformer numbering and installation, above all it will ease the process of monitoring.
- 5. The meter testing lab (both single and three phase meters) was visited during the monitoring tour. The working of the single-phase meter testing was found accurate while the machine was not able to perform 3 phase meter testing. The reason cited was that the machine went out of order during the movement of equipment so it is unable to test the 3 phase meters for last 6 months. The documentary evidence was seen at the spot.





6. In meter testing lab, we found a meter that had manufacturing date of 2012 with guarantee of 2 years but it was still not issued to customer. This shows some negligence, incompetency and irresponsibility of the Electricity department staff.





- 7. These schemes like "Electrification of remaining areas" are burden to power system. The system needs renovation. Instead of renovating the major transmission lines and making the existing system more powerful, more burden is being shifted to the existing infrastructure which seems totally illogical.
- 8. A list of requisite documents including transmission lines drawing were not provided by department.
- 9. Record of transformer maintenance within warranty was not provided by Electricity Department.
- 10. The Proposed provision of service connections were 6205 but in actual 6900 connections have been provided which exceeds the scope of Scheme.



## **Pictorial View of Site**

1. Poor concrete finishing was found during the monitoring visit.





2. Rusting was found in several HT and LT poles.





3. Four conductor distribution lines were continued by the 3 conductors while at some locations, two conductor lines were used for distribution.



4. In distribution transmission line at bypass road an insulator was missing on a pole.





5. During the visit, almost 40 LT poles were observed and all were found without any specific reference number.





6. Mostly the poles were without proper earthing.





7. Broken X cross of the poles were seen during the monitoring visit.





8. Angle of the pole was not accurate. The HT pole was found at obtuse angle with respect to ground.





### 14). Recommendations/ required action to be taken by the department.

- 1. The PC-I preparation is irrational and needs to be justified as lot of discrepancies are found in the allocation of transformers and connections without considering the needs of the people. Electricity department should probe this nonprofessional behavior and fix the responsibility along with disciplinary action.
- 2. All queries made in the above section "Issues in PC-I" should be justified point by point.
- 3. Solid Feasibility study should be done on real need basis.
- 4. It should be asked from Electricity Department that why PC-I of the Scheme was not prepared by keeping in view of next 10-15 years' needs of the people?

- 5. Tendering and purchasing of equipment for development schemes should be done separately.
- 6. Electricity Department should take notice against poor quality of poles and response/action taken should be submitted to P&DD accordingly.
- 7. All members of equipment testing team are from Electricity Department. Third parties should also be involved in testing of equipment in order to have clean testing process. Representative from P&DD must be included in this team.
- 8. It is strongly recommended that in near future no scheme for further electrification of remaining areas should be entertained by P&DD instead the schemes for renovation of power system should be given high priority.
- 9. Electricity department should provide poles, transformers, insulators, maintenance of transformers and other necessary equipment from their normal budget. Proper budget should be given to Electricity Department so that they can manage it at their own end instead of burden on development budget.
- 10. The Electricity Department should make it compulsory to mention pole serial number along with purchase order number on each pole during tendering process. The Electricity Department must not accept the poles from supplier without serial number along with purchase order. Furthermore, it must be ensured that poles purchase order number should be clearly visible on each pole even after installation.
- 11. The meter testing lab is required to be repaired. Repair & maintenance should be done on priority basis.

#### 16). Reporting team (P&D)

| Name                   | Designation              | Signature | Date |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------|
| Raja Manzoor Hussain   | Chief (M&E)              |           |      |
| Engr. Khurram Siddique | Assistant Director (M&E) |           |      |